

AI is taking the world by storm. No matter your stance, it is clear that artificial intelligence is changing our world every single day, for better or for worse. Many say AI has changed their life for the better; however, there are many disadvantages that people who are reliant on AI may not consider, some life-ruining. One of them being the sickening images and videos being created of children in explicit positions.

Individuals are using AI to create explicit images and videos of children. The IWF has noticed the presence of AI-generated child sexual abuse material (CSAM) since October 2023, where, in their very first report, they found over 11,000 images of children in graphic situations on the dark web. According to IWF, this problem has only escalated more and more since then.

Now, some might think that just because these images are generated by AI means that there are no victims, but AI can't actually create new faces, meaning any of those images has the face of a real child. There are also countless ways to edit the face of the child in the image or video to the likeness of a specific person. According to the IWF, there is evidence that AI CSAM has made it more likely for past victims of CSA (child sexual abuse), celebrity children, and children who unknowingly have a relationship with a predator to be victimized.

There are, however, advantages as well. While I personally do not think generative AI is worth it, there are many different types of AI, some of which are very beneficial. One such example is its use in healthcare. AI is being used to quickly and accurately diagnose severe illnesses and diseases such as cancer. It can also predict certain illnesses, as observed by a group at Mount Sinai, where they used "deep learning-based AI algorithms to predict the development of diseases with 94% accuracy, including cancers of the liver, rectum, and prostate." (Forbes.com). It does this by flagging "potential tumour-like structures in patients' scans with incredible efficiency. This can help radiologists and oncologists who can do a deeper examination of these flagged areas." (cancerresearch.org)

Ultimately, it is my opinion that generative AI is not worth it, as its use in any way grants it the strength to be able to generate such heinous images, as well as its impact on the environment, and theft of real people's work, both of which I have not touched on. I believe that generative AI should only be used by professionals in dire situations, but AI that is not generative can be used when needed.

[Hi! not sure how this works I've never used reddit before but I was wondering if anyone could proofread my assignment? its due at 10:20 tomorrow. it doesn't have to be super formal and It really can't be any longer than this. In fact, if you can find a way to make it shorter that would be great! ill copy and paste it here (also please just give feedback on my writing and not the points)]

FEEDBACK

I did a quick readthrough and here's what I would clean up in a light copyedit:

paragraph 1

- first word - change "AI" to "Artificial intelligence (AI)"
- last sentence - I would delete the last sentence because you restate it (and more effectively) in the first sentence of paragraph 2

paragraph 2

- change the first instance of "IWF" to "[whatever IWF stands for] (IWF)"
- delete "Ai" from "the presence of Ai AI-generated"
- "2023, where, in their" - I would change "where" to "when" because you're talking about a point in time, not a place
- "escalated more and more since then" - I would delete "more and more" because that's implied by the word "escalated"

paragraph 3

- first word "Now," - I would delete and just start with "Some might think"
- second "AI" in sentence says "Ai" - cap it
- change "can't" to "cannot" because you otherwise aren't using contractions
- "AI CSAM" - I would change to "AI-created CSAM" or "AI-generated CSAM"
- CSA (child sexual abuse) - I would remove "CSA" altogether and just say "child sexual abuse" because you don't use the abbreviation again in your essay
- "celebrity children" - are these "children of celebrities" or "child celebrities"? I would change it for clarity

paragraph 4

- first sentence "There are, however, advantages as well." - I would change to "There are, however, advantages to AI as well." to return the reader's mind to the subject of AI in general, after the specific subject of AI-generated CSAM
- I would delete the section "While I personally do not think generative AI is worth it," to the conclusion so that your points stay evidence-based and because you restate this almost word-for-word in the first sentence of the conclusion
- if you keep the sentence, it may be beneficial to spell out what you mean by "worth it" since there's no antecedent to make your thought clear
- change "diseases such as" to "diseases, such as" (because you could remove "such as cancer" from the sentence without changing the meaning of the main clause)
- "It does this by" - I would change to "AI does this by" since the prior sentence is about the work at Mount Sinai so "it" doesn't have a clear antecedent

FEEDBACK

- possible misspelling - was "tumour" spelled in UK English in the original quote or should it be "tumor"?

paragraph 5

- "such heinous images" - I would restate that these heinous images are CSAM
- delete the comma between "environment" and "theft" - using "as well as" instead of "and" earlier in the sentence means you don't have a straight series of three items here
- since you are aware that you haven't touched on the environmental impacts and thefts, is it necessary to mention them at all? (since it will leave the reader wanting/expecting more information that they're not going to get)

Just as a note, I'm not sure what level of formality the assignment calls for, but usually you wouldn't want to have "I" statements in a formal manuscript.

Artificial intelligence (AI) is taking the world by storm. No matter your stance, it is clear that AI is changing our world every single day, for better or for worse. Many say AI has changed their lives for the better; however, there are many disadvantages that people who are reliant on AI may not consider, some life-ruining.

Individuals are using AI to create explicit images and videos of children. The Internet Watch Forum (IWF) has noticed the presence of AI-generated child sexual abuse material (CSAM) since October 2023, when, in their very first report, they found over 11,000 images of children in graphic situations on the dark web. According to IWF, this problem has only escalated since then.

Some might think that just because these images are generated by AI means that there are no victims, but AI cannot actually create new faces, meaning any of those images has the face of a real child. There are also countless ways to edit the face of the child in the image or video to the likeness of a specific person. According to the IWF, there is evidence that AI-generated CSAM has made it more likely for past victims of child sexual abuse, celebrity children, and children who unknowingly have a relationship with a predator to be victimized.

AI has advantages as well. There are many different types of AI, some of which are very beneficial. One such example is its use in healthcare. AI is being used to quickly and accurately diagnose severe illnesses and diseases, such as cancer. It can also predict certain illnesses, as observed by a group at Mount Sinai, where they used “deep learning-based AI algorithms to predict the development of diseases with 94% accuracy, including cancers of the liver, rectum, and prostate.” (Forbes.com). AI does this by flagging “potential tumour-like structures in patients' scans with incredible efficiency. This can help radiologists and oncologists who can do a deeper examination of these flagged areas.” (cancerresearch.org)

Ultimately, it is my opinion that generative AI is not worth it, as its use in any way grants it the strength to be able to generate such heinous images as CSAM, as well as its impact on the environment and theft of real people's work, both of which I have not touched on. Generative AI should only be used by professionals in dire situations, but AI that is not generative can be used when needed.

Artificial intelligence (AI) is taking the world by storm. No matter your stance, it is clear that ~~artificial intelligence~~ AI is changing our world every single day, for better or for worse. Many say AI has changed their ~~life-lives~~ for the better; however, there are many disadvantages that people who are reliant on AI may not consider, some life-ruining. ~~One of them being the sickening images and videos being created of children in explicit positions.~~

Individuals are using AI to create explicit images and videos of children. The [Internet Watch Forum](#) (IWF) has noticed the presence of ~~AI~~ AI-generated child sexual abuse material (CSAM) since October 2023, ~~where~~when, in their very first report, they found over 11,000 images of children in graphic situations on the dark web. According to IWF, this problem has only escalated ~~more and more~~ since then.

~~Now, some~~Some might think that just because these images are generated by AI means that there are no victims, but ~~AI~~AI ~~can't~~cannot actually create new faces, meaning any of those images has the face of a real child. There are also countless ways to edit the face of the child in the image or video to the likeness of a specific person. According to the IWF, there is evidence that AI-generated CSAM has made it more likely for past victims of ~~CSA~~ (child sexual abuse), ~~celebrity children~~, and children who unknowingly have a relationship with a predator to be victimized.

~~There are, however,~~AI has advantages as well. ~~While I personally do not think generative AI is worth it, there~~There are many different types of AI, some of which are very beneficial. One such example is its use in healthcare. AI is being used to quickly and accurately diagnose severe illnesses and diseases, such as cancer. It can also predict certain illnesses, as observed by a group at Mount Sinai, where they used “deep learning-based AI algorithms to predict the development of diseases with 94% accuracy, including cancers of the liver, rectum, and prostate.” (Forbes.com). ~~It~~AI does this by flagging “potential ~~tumour~~-like structures in patients' scans with incredible efficiency. This can help radiologists and oncologists who can do a deeper examination of these flagged areas.” (cancerresearch.org)

Ultimately, it is my opinion that generative AI is not worth it, as its use in any way grants it the strength to be able to generate such heinous images ~~as CSAM~~, as well as its impact on the environment, and theft of real people's work, both of which I have not touched on. ~~I~~ ~~believe that generative~~Generative AI should only be used by professionals in dire situations, but AI that is not generative can be used when needed.

Commented [C • P2]: A

U: Re: "celebrity children"—are these "children of celebrities" or "child celebrities"? Please clarify.

Commented [C • P3]: A

U: The section "While I personally do not think generative AI is worth it," is restated almost word-for-word in the first sentence of the conclusion. Delete it here so that your points stay evidence-based.

if you keep the sentence, it may be beneficial to spell out what is meant by "worth it." There's no antecedent to "it," so a reader may be confused.

Commented [C • P4]: A

U: Possible misspelling: was "tumour" spelled with the "u" (in UK English) in the original quote or should it be "tumor"?

Commented [C • P5]: A

U: Is it necessary to mention the environmental impacts and thefts here in the conclusion? They aren't mentioned in the body of the essay, as noted by "both of which I have not touched on." This mention could leave the reader thinking they missed a point earlier or wanting/expecting more information that they're not going to get right now.

Artificial intelligence (AI) is taking the world by storm. No matter what your stance on AI, it is changing our world every single day. Many say AI has changed their lives for the better; however, there are also disadvantages—some life-ruining—to generative AI that people who are reliant on it may not consider.

Criminals are using generative AI to create explicit images and videos of children. The Internet Watch Forum (IWF) first noted the presence of AI-generated child sexual abuse material (CSAM) in October 2023 in its annual report, “IWF Insights into Online Child Sexual Abuse Trends in 2023,” where it stated it had found over 11,000 images of children in graphic situations on the dark web. This problem continues to escalate.

Some AI users may consider AI-generated CSAM a victimless crime because the images are not actual photos and videos of the depicted child, but they may not understand that AI cannot create new faces. AI-generated CSAM displays the faces of real children; there are countless ways to digitally edit the faces in CSAM to the likeness of a specific child. According to the IWF, there is evidence that AI-generated CSAM makes it more likely that past victims of child sexual abuse will be revictimized and puts celebrity children and children who unknowingly have a relationship with a predator at greater risk of being victimized (“IWF Insights”).

Despite generative AI’s disadvantages, other types of AI can be beneficial. AI is being used in healthcare to quickly and accurately diagnose severe illnesses and diseases, including cancer. AI can also predict certain illnesses, as observed by a group at Mount Sinai, where they used “deep learning-based AI algorithms to predict the development of diseases with 94% accuracy, including cancers of the liver, rectum, and prostate” (Forbes.com). AI does this by

flagging “potential tumour-like structures in patients' scans with incredible efficiency. This can help radiologists and oncologists who can do a deeper examination of these flagged areas” (cancerresearch.org).

Ultimately, generative AI is not worth the risks it poses, as any use of it grants it the ability to generate heinous images like CSAM. Generative AI should only be used by professionals in dire situations, but AI that is not generative can be used when needed to benefit people, including in healthcare settings.

Works Cited

“IWF Insights into Online Child Sexual Abuse Trends in 2023.” Internet Watch Forum, Oct. 2023, www.iwf.org.uk/annual-report-2023/.

cancerresearch.org

forbes.com

COPYEDITED TEXT (WC 381)

(with Track Changes and Comments on)

HEAVY COPYEDIT & STANDARD FORMATTING

(light copyedit + revise confusing or convoluted passages, verify and revise factual errors, query and/or fix faults in organization, plot holes, and gaps in logic; MLA style formatting)

Artificial intelligence (AI) is taking the world by storm. No matter what your stance on AI, ~~it is clear that artificial intelligence~~ it is changing our world every single day, ~~for better or for worse~~. Many say AI has changed their life-lives for the better; however, there are many-also disadvantages ~~—some life-ruining—~~ to generative AI that people who are reliant on ~~AI~~ it may not consider, ~~some life-ruining. One of them being the sickening images and videos being created of children in explicit positions.~~

~~Individuals~~ Criminals are using generative AI to create explicit images and videos of children. The Internet Watch Forum (IWF) ~~has first noticed~~ noted the presence of ~~AI~~ AI-generated child sexual abuse material (CSAM) ~~since in~~ in October 2023, ~~where, in their its very first annual~~ report, “IWF Insights into Online Child Sexual Abuse Trends in 2023,” ~~where they it~~ stated it had found over 11,000 images of children in graphic situations on the dark web. ~~According to IWF, this~~ This problem ~~has only escalated more and more since then~~ continues to escalate.

~~Now, some~~ Some AI users may ~~might think~~ consider ~~that~~ AI-generated CSAM a victimless crime ~~just~~ because ~~these the~~ images are not actual photos and videos of the depicted child ~~generated by AI means that there are no victims~~, but they may not understand that AI AI ~~can’t cannot~~ actually create new faces; AI-generated ~~meaning any of those~~ CSAM images has displays the ~~face faces~~ of a real child children; ~~T~~ there are also countless ways to digitally edit the the face of the child faces in CSAM in the image or video to the likeness of a specific person child. According to the IWF, there is evidence that AI-generated CSAM ~~has made~~ makes it more likely ~~for that~~ past victims of CSA (child sexual abuse will be revictimized), and puts

Commented [C • P6]: A U: Readers will wonder who this means. “Many experts in [subject]. . .”? “Many people [interviewed in cited article]”?

Commented [C • P7]: A U: Added citation to Works Cited page; please verify accuracy. MLA: a parenthetical citation is not necessary because the page does not list an author, and the title of the article is used as a signal phrase within the sentence.

celebrity children, and children who unknowingly have a relationship with a predator at greater risk to be of being victimized (“IWF Insights”).

There are, however, ~~Despite~~ generative AI’s disadvantages, other types of AI can be beneficial advantages as well. ~~While I personally do not think generative AI is worth it, there~~ AI are many different types of AI, some of which are very beneficial. One such example is ~~being its~~ ~~used~~ in healthcare. ~~AI is being used~~ to quickly and accurately diagnose severe illnesses and diseases, ~~such as~~ including cancer. ~~It~~ AI can also predict certain illnesses, as observed by a group at Mount Sinai, where they used “deep learning-based AI algorithms to predict the development of diseases with 94% accuracy, including cancers of the liver, rectum, and prostate.” (Forbes.com). ~~It~~ AI does this by flagging “potential tumour-like structures in patients’ scans with incredible efficiency. This can help radiologists and oncologists who can do a deeper examination of these flagged areas.” (cancerresearch.org).

Ultimately, ~~it is my opinion that~~ generative AI is not worth ~~it~~ the risks it poses, as ~~its~~ any use of it in any way grants it the strength-ability to be able to generate such heinous images like CSAM, ~~as well as its impact on the environment, and theft of real people’s work, both of which I have not touched on. I believe that generative~~ Generative AI should only be used by professionals in dire situations, but AI that is not generative can be used when needed to benefit people, including in healthcare settings.

Works Cited

“IWF Insights into Online Child Sexual Abuse Trends in 2023.” Internet Watch Forum, Oct. 2023, www.iwf.org.uk/annual-report-2023/.

cancerresearch.org

forbes.com

Commented [C • P8]: A
U: Re: "celebrity children"—are these "children of celebrities" or "child celebrities"? Please clarify.

Commented [C • P9]: A
U: The section "While I personally do not think generative AI is worth it," is restated almost word-for-word in the first sentence of the conclusion. Delete it here so that your points stay evidence-based.

if you keep the sentence, it may be beneficial to spell out what is meant by "worth it." There's ... [1]

Commented [C • P10]: A
U: Please add source details. The in-text citation "(Forbes.com)" can remain as long as the article is fully cited on Works Cited page.

Commented [C • P11]: A
U: Possible misspelling: was "tumour" spelled with the "u" (in UK English) in the original quote or should it be "tumor"?

Commented [C • P12]: A
U: Please add source details. The in-text citation "(cancerresearch.org)" can remain as long ... [2]

Commented [C • P13]: A
U: Is it necessary to mention the environmental impacts and thefts here in the conclusion? They aren't mentioned in the bc ... [3]

Commented [C • P14]: A
U: Can you provide an example here to ensure the reader understands what is meant by "professionals in dire situations"?

Commented [C • P15]: A
U: These need full citations. Be sure to update your in-text citations with names of authors if available. ... [4]

Page 9: [1] Commented [C - P9]

Check the Paige

7/8/2026 9:09:00 PM

AU: The section "While I personally do not think generative AI is worth it," is restated almost word-for-word in the first sentence of the conclusion. Delete it here so that your points stay evidence-based.

if you keep the sentence, it may be beneficial to spell out what is meant by "worth it." There's no antecedent to "it," so a reader may be confused.

Page 9: [2] Commented [C - P12]

Check the Paige

7/8/2026 11:35:00 PM

AU: Please add source details. The in-text citation "(cancerresearch.org)" can remain as long as the article is fully cited on Works Cited page.

Page 9: [3] Commented [C - P13]

Check the Paige

7/8/2026 9:17:00 PM

AU: Is it necessary to mention the environmental impacts and thefts here in the conclusion? They aren't mentioned in the body of the essay, as noted by "both of which I have not touched on." This mention could leave the reader thinking they missed a point earlier or wanting/expecting more information that they're not going to get right now.

Page 9: [4] Commented [C - P15]

Check the Paige

7/9/2026 12:06:00 AM

AU: These need full citations. Be sure to update your in-text citations with names of authors if available, e.g., "(Smith)." instead of "(forbes.com).".